

## Financial Modeling and Analysis of 10 MW Solar/Wind Power Project

### Table of Contents

- Why 10 MW Projects Are Becoming the Sweet Spot
- Crunching the Numbers: Where Financial Models Get Real
- When the Wind Stops: Lessons from Texas' 2021 Power Crisis
- The Lithium Twist: How Battery Costs Changed Everything
- Developer Dilemmas: Feed-in Tariffs vs. Merchant Risk

### Why 10 MW Projects Are Becoming the Sweet Spot

You know what's funny? Five years ago, 10 MW renewable projects were considered mid-sized. Today, they're sort of the Goldilocks zone - big enough to achieve economies of scale, small enough to avoid transmission nightmares. In markets like India's Gujarat state, where land acquisition can make or break projects, this solar/wind power capacity hits the regulatory sweet spot for fast-track approvals.

But here's the kicker: financial modeling for these projects has gotten trickier, not simpler. Why? Because while panel costs dropped 40% since 2020, interest rates climbed 300 basis points. It's like trying to hit a moving target while blindfolded.

### Crunching the Numbers: Where Financial Models Get Real

Let's break down a typical 10 MW solar project in the American Southwest:

- CAPEX: \$11 million (down from \$16M in 2019)
- OPEX: \$120,000/year (mostly module cleaning)
- PPA Rate: \$32/MWh (20-year contract)

Wait, no - actually, those numbers don't tell the whole story. You've got to factor in duck curve impacts. When California's grid operator CAISO saw solar generation hit 13,800 MW last summer, midday prices occasionally dipped below zero. Suddenly your financial analysis needs probabilistic modeling for price cannibalization.

### When the Wind Stops: Lessons from Texas' 2021 Power Crisis

Remember February 2021? ERCOT's frozen wind turbines became a cautionary tale. A 10 MW wind project in the Texas Panhandle that modeled 95% availability learned the hard way - actual output plunged to 12%

# Financial Modeling and Analysis of 10 MW Solar/Wind Power Project

during the storm. Their financial model hadn't accounted for climate change-driven polar vortex events. Now, developers are adding "black swan weather clauses" to power purchase agreements.

## The Lithium Twist: How Battery Costs Changed Everything

Here's where it gets interesting. The levelized cost of storage (LCOS) for 4-hour batteries dropped to \$132/MWh in Q2 2023. That's game-changing for hybrid projects. Pairing 10 MW solar with 2.5 MW/10 MWh storage can boost IRR by 3 percentage points. But wait - battery degradation models still stump many analysts. Do you use Tesla's linear warranty assumptions or LG's exponential decay curves?

## Developer Dilemmas: Feed-in Tariffs vs. Merchant Risk

Germany's EEG 3.0 reforms show the shifting sands. Feed-in tariffs provided certainty, but now 63% of new EU projects are merchant. For a 10 MW wind power installation in the North Sea, the choice is stark: lock in EUR58/MWh for 15 years or gamble on day-ahead markets averaging EUR72/MWh (with 40% volatility). Most models I've seen underestimate the psychological toll of merchant risk - investors lose sleep over those price swings.

## Q&A: Burning Questions from Project Developers

Q: Should we model 30-year project lifetimes given rapid tech changes?

A: Most lenders still require 25-year models, but add a technology cliff scenario in Year 15.

Q: How critical are bifacial panels to project economics now?

A: In high-albedo areas like Saudi deserts, they boost yields 9% - enough to justify the 7% cost premium.

Q: Are power-to-X models viable at 10 MW scale?

A: Only for niche applications like green hydrogen for fertilizer plants - the numbers don't add up for transport fuel yet.

There you have it - the messy reality behind those clean Excel models. It's not just about NPV formulas anymore. From climate uncertainty to storage chemistry, financial modeling for renewables has become equal parts science and witchcraft. And honestly? That's what makes it so damn interesting.

Web: <https://virgosolar.co.za>